STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

SHAYNE C. LEBLANC

NO. 14-KA-323

FIFTH CIRCUIT

COURT OF APPEAL

STATE OF LOUISIANA

ON APPEAL FROM THE TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF JEFFERSON, STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 12-2928, DIVISION "I" HONORABLE NANCY A. MILLER, JUDGE PRESIDING

November 25, 2014

COURT OF APPEAL FIFTH CIRCUIT

FILED NOV 2 5 2014

MARC E. JOHNSON JUDGE

CLERK Cheryl Quirk Landrieu

Panel composed of Judges Marc E. Johnson, Stephen J. Windhorst, and Hans J. Liljeberg

PAUL D. CONNICK, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY Twenty-Fourth Judicial District Parish of Jefferson TERRY M. BOUDREAUX ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 Derbigny Street Gretna, Louisiana 70053 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF/APPELLEE

LIEU T. VO CLARK ATTORNEY AT LAW Louisiana Appellate Project P. O. Box 465 Mandeville, Louisiana 70470-0465 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT/APPELLANT

<u>CONVICTION AND SENTENCE, AS</u> <u>AMENDED, AFFIRMED; MOTION TO</u> <u>WITHDRAW GRANTED</u>

Defendant, Shayne C. LeBlanc, appeals his conviction and enhanced threeyear sentence for aggravated flight from an officer. For the reasons that follow, we affirm.¹

On June 1, 2012, Defendant was charged with aggravated flight from an officer in violation of La. R.S. 14:108.1(C). After being advised of his Boykin² rights, he pled guilty as charged on April 16, 2013. In accordance with the plea agreement, the trial court sentenced Defendant to two years imprisonment at hard labor and imposed a \$150 fine and \$45 fee for the indigent defender fund.³ On the same date, the State filed a multiple offender bill of information, alleging Defendant to be a second felony offender. Defendant stipulated to the multiple offender bill. The trial court vacated Defendant's original sentence and, pursuant to the plea agreement, imposed an enhanced sentence under La. R.S. 15:529.1 of three years imprisonment at hard labor without the benefit of probation or suspension of sentence, again assessing a \$150 fine and \$45 indigent defender fund fee.

¹ This memorandum opinion is issued in compliance with Uniform Rules - Courts of Appeal Rule 2-16.1(B). ² Boykin v. Alabama, 395 U.S. 238, 89 S.Ct. 1709, 23 L.Ed.2d 274 (1969).

³ The indigent defender fund fee is authorized by La. R.S. 15:168.

Because Defendant's conviction was the result of a guilty plea, and resolved without evidentiary hearings, the facts underlying the offense are not fully developed in the record. However, the bill of information alleges that on December 8, 2011, Defendant intentionally refused "to bring a vehicle to a stop, under circumstances wherein human life was endangered, knowing he had been given a visual and audible signal to stop" by an officer who had reasonable grounds to believe that Defendant had committed an offense. During the plea colloquy, Defendant admitted that he had fled from an officer when the officer attempted to pull him over and failed to obey a stop sign and/or a yield sign.

Appellate counsel's brief contains no assignments of error and sets forth that it is filed in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), and *State v. Jyles*, 96-2669 (La. 12/12/97); 704 So.2d 241 (*per curiam*), which set forth the procedure appellate counsel should follow when, upon conscientious review of a case, counsel finds an appeal would be wholly frivolous.

In the instant case, appellate counsel reviewed the procedural history of the case in her brief. She set forth that, after a review of the record, she has found no non-frivolous issues to present on appeal. Appellate counsel specifically notes that Defendant was fully informed of the legal consequences of entering a guilty plea and was advised of the sentencing range and the sentence he would receive upon admitting to being a second felony offender. Counsel points out that Defendant is precluded from appealing his sentence, which was imposed pursuant to a plea agreement. Thus, counsel concludes there were no non-frivolous issues to raise on appeal. Accordingly, appellate counsel requests to withdraw from further representation of Defendant. Appellate counsel advised this Court that she notified Defendant of his right to file a *pro se* brief in this appeal, and we note that this Court sent Defendant a letter by certified mail informing him that an *Anders* brief

-3-

had been filed and that he had a right to file a *pro se* supplemental brief. Defendant chose not to file a *pro se* brief.

This Court has performed an independent, thorough review of the pleadings, minute entries, bill of information, and transcripts in the appellate record. Our independent review reveals no non-frivolous issues or trial court rulings that arguably support an appeal. Accordingly, Defendant's conviction is affirmed.

However, we note an error patent with regard to sentencing. In imposing the enhanced sentence under La. R.S. 15:529.1, the trial court vacated the original sentence and imposed an enhanced sentence of three years imprisonment at hard labor, and ordered Defendant to pay a \$150 fine pursuant to his previous commitment. The multiple offender statute does not authorize the imposition of a fine, but only provides for enhanced sentences relating to the term of imprisonment. *State v. Dickerson*, 584 So.2d 1140 (La. 1991) (*per curiam*); *State v. Mendez*, 13-909 (La. App. 5 Cir. 4/23/14); 140 So.3d 284, 293. Thus, the trial court did not have the authority to impose a fine on resentencing under La. R.S. 15:529.1, despite the provision for a fine in the underlying criminal reference statute. Accordingly, we amend Defendant's sentence to delete the fine. *See Mendez*, *supra*. As amended, Defendant's sentence is hereby affirmed.

For the foregoing reasons, we affirm Defendant's conviction for aggravated flight from an officer. We amend his enhanced sentence to delete the \$150 fine and affirm his three-year sentence as amended. Additionally, appellate counsel's motion to withdraw, which has been held in abeyance pending disposition of this matter, is granted.

<u>CONVICTION AND SENTENCE,</u> <u>AS AMENDED, AFFIRMED;</u> <u>MOTION TO WITHDRAW</u> <u>GRANTED</u>

SUSAN M. CHEHARDY CHIEF JUDGE

FREDERICKA H. WICKER JUDE G. GRAVOIS MARC E. JOHNSON ROBERT A. CHAISSON ROBERT M. MURPHY STEPHEN J. WINDHORST HANS J. LILJEBERG

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT 101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053) POST OFFICE BOX 489 GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054 www.fifthcircuit.org

NOTICE OF JUDGMENT AND CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE OPINION IN THE BELOW-NUMBERED MATTER HAS BEEN DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **Uniform Rules - Court of Appeal, Rule 2-20** THIS DAY **NOVEMBER 25, 2014** TO THE TRIAL JUDGE, COUNSEL OF RECORD AND ALL PARTIES NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

CHERYL'Q. L'ANDRIEU CLERK OF COURT

14-KA-323

E-NOTIFIED

TERRY M. BOUDREAUX LIEU T. VO CLARK

MAILED

HON. PAUL D. CONNICK, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 200 DERBIGNY STREET GRETNA, LA 70053 CHERYL Q. LANDRIEU CLERK OF COURT

MARY E. LEGNON CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

SUSAN BUCHHOLZ FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

MELISSA C. LEDET DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400 (504) 376-1498 FAX